## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARKMONITOR, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. <u>19-mc-80050-SK</u> (HSG)

## ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR

Re: Dkt. No. 15

Pending before the Court is MarkMonitor, Inc.'s motion for relief from a nondispositive pretrial order issued by Magistrate Judge Sallie Kim on March 7, 2019 granting Cox Communications, Inc.'s motion to compel. See Dkt. No. 15.

A pretrial order by a magistrate judge will be reversed only if it "is clearly erroneous or contrary to law." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). The Court has carefully reviewed Judge Kim's order, MarkMonitor's motion, and the relevant legal authorities. Judge Kim's order is well-reasoned and thorough. The Court affirms the non-dispositive order because it is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." See Grimes v. City & Cty. of San Francisco, 951 F.2d 236, 240 (9th Cir. 1991). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** MarkMonitor's motion for relief from Judge Kim's nondispositive pretrial order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/23/2019

WOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge